### Message

Bacey, Juanita@DTSC [Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov] From:

Sent: 5/31/2016 10:25:06 PM

To: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO [derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil]

CC: Janda, Danielle L CIV [danielle.janda@navy.mil]; Edwards, Zachary L CIV SEA 04 04N [zachary.edwards@navy.mil];

Low, Tina@Waterboards [Tina.Low@waterboards.ca.gov]; Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; Brownell, Amy

(DPH) [Amy.Brownell@sfdph.org]; Lane, Jackie [Lane.Jackie@epa.gov]; LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]

RE: Followup from BCT - Questions for Navy regarding Hunters Point radiation issues Subject:

#### Derek,

Thanks for the quick response. I believe Lily's questions address all our concerns. Please let us know as soon as possible when the interview with Mr. Smith will take place. Thx.

#### Nina

----Original Message----

From: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO [mailto:derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil]

Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 3:11 PM

To: Bacey, Juanita@DTŚC Cc: Janda, Danielle L CIV; Edwards, Zachary L CIV SEA 04 04N; Low, Tina@Waterboards; Chesnutt, John;

Brownell, Amy (DPH); Lane, Jackie; LEE, LILY

Subject: RE: Followup from BCT - Questions for Navy regarding Hunters Point radiation issues

Thank you for the email Nina.

On the topic of Anthony Smith, my office and RASO are planning to be present the next time he is interviewed on the site. The interview date has not been set yet, but we are hopeful for the end of June or beginning of July. I am afraid that we won't have any additional information until after we are able to interview him and determine if his statements bring other areas into question. Lily sent questions that she has regarding his information, please send me any questions you have for Mr. Smith. Otherwise, please let people know that new information is being investigated as we obtain it.

Regarding past investigations and activities, please rely on the anomalous sampling report and materials used in the past. I have attached two resources that we produced. This information hasn't changed. Beyond this, they should be referred to the Navy as the lead agency.

### Derek

----Original Message----

From: Bacey, Juanita@DTSC [mailto:Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 2:44 PM

To: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO

Cc: Janda, Danielle L CIV; Edwards, Zachary L CIV SEA 04 04N; Low, Tina@Waterboards; Chesnutt, John; Brownell, Amy (DPH); Lane, Jackie; LEE, LILY

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Followup from BCT - Questions for Navy regarding Hunters Point radiation issues

# Hi Derek,

I'm back from my vacation and I'm going through all my email. I agree with Lily in that a meeting would be helpful so that the Navy could address the questions that Lily sent. I think the sooner we do this, the sooner we can resolve this issue. The Navy's response to us will allow us to respond to the public with confidence that the work done to date at the base acceptable. Also, I'm planning a meeting with our DTSC Environmental Justice staff who regularly attend the Bayview/Hunters Point EJ Task Force meeting. It would be great if we could have the meeting with the Navy prior to my meeting with the EJ staff. This way I can provide them with the information necessary for them to respond to the public at these meetings. If you wish to discuss this further, please feel free to contact me. I'm not at my desk today, but can be reached at (510) 913-0763. Thanks.

## Nina

From: LEE, LILY [mailto:LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]

Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 3:24 PM

To: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO

Cc: Janda, Danielle L CIV; zachary.edwards@navy.mil; Low, Tina@Waterboards; Chesnutt, John; Bacey,

Juanita@DTSC; Brownell, Amy (DPH); Lane, Jackie

Subject: Followup from BCT - Questions for Navy regarding Hunters Point radiation issues

Dear Derek,

As I said in the BCT meeting last Friday, it would be helpful to have a conference call with RASO to help all of us regulators understand more details about the facts of the Tetra Tech issue. Based on further review, and consultation with health physicists in Region 9 and HQ, we have updated and focused the questions I raised at the BCT meeting and that I previously sent about the Tetra Tech issue. Most of the answers could be provided verbally in a conference call with EPA, DTSC, and the Water Board. I understand that DTSC and the Water Board also may have their own additional questions based on their regulatory roles. Since much of this information is likely to be available already from RASO and others, e.g. from the Q&A's you already prepared for the 4/21 meeting, a conference call to give partial answers would be helpful soon. Information that will take longer to prepare can be provided later as it becomes available. In the mean time, I'm also refining my questions for the Navy about the USCS presentation.

In addition, at the BCT meeting I also said that the Navy has excellent opportunities at its own July 13 meeting and at the July 21 EJ Task Force meeting to give more thorough explanations of the Navy's work on radiation cleanup and addressing the Tetra Tech issue. The Navy, the public, and the regulatory agencies will all benefit from Navy presentation of the facts. The Navy can make use of the work already done on fact sheets and posters for the April 2015 workshop on radiation and from the Q&A's for the April 21, 2016 EJ Task Force meeting.

By the way, EPA's headquarters Superfund managers visited my office last week for their routine midyear check-in. They specifically requested a presentation about Hunters Point radiation issues. I presented a summary of the main themes. I am also preparing to send HQ the attached draft compilation of public inquiries that we have received thus far from the public and press. I tried to send these along to you along the way as I received them, but in case I missed any, here is my collection so far. If you have others to add, then I'd appreciate it if you could send them to me as well.

Thanks!

Lily